

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOC | KET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------------------|--|--|
| 10/784,553 | 02/23/2004 | | Yukihiro Matsumoto | 2004-2150.ORI | | 1928 | | |
| 23165 | 7590 | 08/10/2005 | | EXAMINER | | | | |
| | ROBERT J JACOBSON PA 650 BRIMHALL STREET SOUTH | | | | | MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA | | |
| ST PAUL, MN 551161511 | | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| • | • | | | 1764 | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | l | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/784,553 | MATSUMOTO, YUR | YUKIHIRO | | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Virginia Manoharan | 1764 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>19 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | | • | | | | | |
| 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of | | | | | | | | |
| this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no | | | | | | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on | |) and the appropriate exte | ension fee have | | | | | |
| been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | tter form for appear by materially it | sadomy or ompmying | 1 (10 100000 101 | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | - | jected claims. | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling | | | | | | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. | | • | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . | • | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | ut hoforo or on the data of filing a h | Jotico of Annoal will r | nat ha antarad | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to | | | | | | | | |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | ry and was not earlier presented. S | See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| (1). | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | ance because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amdts., would provoke new 112 rejections: For examples Only.

- 1). The scope of the claimed invention previously examined has been changed. Claims 2-7 (now framed as process claims)previously depending on an apparatus claim, now depend on a process claim.
- 2). Claims 2-4, e.g., fail to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim as they do not recite further process steps to add to the process of claim 1.
- 3). Claim 1 appears to include two distinct statutory subject matter, i.e., process and apparatus. .

VIRGINIA MANOHARAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 125/764